



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/666,218	09/21/2000	Jae-hun Lee	SAM-143	9230

7590 01/15/2004
Mills & Onello LLP
Eleven Beacon Street Suite 605
Boston, MA 02108

EXAMINER

TRAN, TRANG U

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/666,218

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

Trang U. Tran

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 September 2000 and 14 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,6,8,9,19,20 and 23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5, 7, 21,22 and 24 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 6, 9, 19-20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suemura et al (US Patent No. 5,887,039).

In considering claim 1, Suemura et al discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a video controller for separating color signals and a horizontal/vertical synchronous signal from an original video signal, and for transmitting the color signals and the horizontal/vertical synchronous signal in response to externally-applied predetermined data enable signal and clock signal is met by the parallel digital data 1 which is covering a total of 12 bits are divided into units each of 3 bits, each unit being inputted to each encoder 10 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, lines 3-26), 2) the claimed a transmitter for skew-compensating and compressing signals received from the video controller and for converting the compressed signals to a driving current is met by the transmitter which includes the encoder 10, the sync pattern adder 11, the input clock signal 42, the timing pulse generator 30 and the P/S converter 12 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, lines 3-32), 3) the claimed a transmission photo diode for converting the

Art Unit: 2614

driving current to an optical signal and for outputting the optical signal is met by the optical transmitters 20 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, lines 32-35), 4) the claimed an optical transmission line comprised of a predetermined number of channels, for transmitting the optical signal is met by the optical fibers 21 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, lines 32-35), 5) the claimed a reception photo diode for converting the optical signal received from the optical transmission line into a current signal and for outputting the current signal is met by the optical receivers 22 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, lines 35-37), and 6) the claimed a receiver for converting the current signal into a voltage signal, for decompressing the voltage signal, for compensating for the skew of the voltage and for restoring the original signal is met by the receiver side (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, line 37 to col. 14, line 8).

In considering claim 6, Suemura et al discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed an optical receiver for converting current signals received from the reception photo diode into voltage signals, and for duty-compensating and level-converting the voltage signals to obtain digitalized signals which are different channel data is met by the optical receivers 22 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, lines 35-37), 2) the claimed a phase locked loop for generating a clock signal which synchronizes with a clock signal included in the channel data, and for outputting the synchronized clock signal to serve as an actual clock signal for data reception is met by the clock extractor 43 which extracts a transmission clock signal 36 form the output of the optical receiver 22 and the output clock signal 37 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, line 60 to col. 12, line 19), 3) the claimed a data restoration and skew compensation unit for receiving channel data

that has been compressed by the transmitter, for decompressing the compressed data in response to the synchronized clock signal, and for skew-compensating the decompressed data to obtain different channel data each having a predetermined number of bits is met by the skew compensation which includes the synchronization pattern detectors 16, controller 41 and bit rotator 17 (Fig. 9, col. 12, line 31 to col. 14, line 8), and 4) the claimed a descrambler for descrambling in response to the direct current balance information in each of the channel data, so that the low level and high level of the channel data balance with each other is met by the decoders 19 (Fig. 9, col. 12, line 31 to col. 14, line 8).

In considering claim 9, Suemura et al. discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a first latch unit for latching for latching received serial data in units of $n+N-1$ (where N is a positive integer greater than or equal to 3) bits in parallel in response to the first through n -th non-overlapped clock signals, and for outputting N n -bit latch state data having the time difference of a predetermined offset therebetween is met by the serial-to-parallel (S/P) converters 18 which convert the serial data into parallel data (Fig. 9, col. 12, lines 31-66), 2) the claimed the second latch unit for latching in parallel the N state data in response to an X -th ($1 \leq X \leq n$) non-overlapped clock signal having the greatest timing margin among the first through n -th non-overlapped clock signals is met by the first register 60 and the second register 61 (Figs. 9-11, col. 12, line 59 to col. 13, line 46), and 3) the claimed a synchronizer for outputting state data from which the synchronous signal is detected, among data latched by the second latch unit, as restored information data, in response to a predetermined

Art Unit: 2614

synchronous existence signal and the X-th non-overlapped clock signal, wherein the first through n-th non-overlapped clock signals are generated by the phase lock loop, and each has a predetermined offset so that the clock signals are not overlapped with each other is met by the AND gate 56 which takes a logical AND operation of the synchronization pattern group detection signal Sa and the selector 64 which selects respective one of the ports of the states 0 to 3 (Figs. 9-12, col. 13, line 10 to col. 14, line 8).

In considering claim 19, Suemura et al. discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a first latch unit for latching for latching received serial data in units of $n+N-1$ (where N is a positive integer greater than or equal to 3) bits in parallel in response to the first through n-th non-overlapped clock signals, and for outputting N n-bit latch state data having the time difference of a predetermined offset therebetween is met by the serial-to-parallel (S/P) converters 18 which convert the serial data into parallel data (Fig. 9, col. 12, lines 31-66), 2) the claimed the second latch unit for latching in parallel the N state data in response to an X-th ($1 \leq X \leq n$) non-overlapped clock signal having the greatest timing margin among the first through n-th non-overlapped clock signals is met by the first register 60 and the second register 61 (Figs. 9-11, col. 12, line 59 to col. 13, line 46), and 3) the claimed a synchronizer for outputting state data from which the synchronous signal is detected, among data latched by the second latch unit, as restored information data, in response to a predetermined synchronous existence signal and the X-th non-overlapped clock signal is met by the AND gate 56 which takes a logical AND operation of the synchronization pattern group

Art Unit: 2614

detection signal Sa and the selector 64 which selects respective one of the ports of the states 0 to 3 (Figs. 9-12, col. 13, line 10 to col. 14, line 8).

In considering claim 20, the claimed wherein the predetermined offset is the width of a unit bit constituting the serial data is met by the serial-to-parallel (S/P) converters 18 which convert the serial data into parallel data (Fig. 9, col. 12, lines 31-66).

Claim 23 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suemura et al (US Patent No. 5,887,039).

In considering claim 8, Suemura discloses all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claims 1 and 6 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the optical receiver further comprises a power down controller for powering down the bias circuit so that it does not operate, in response to an externally-applied power down control signal. The capability of using the power down controller is old and well known in the art. Therefore, the Official Notice is taken. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the old and

well known of using power down controller into Suemura's system in order to control the power of the bias circuit.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suemura et al (US Patent No. 5,887,039) in view of Sakamoto et al (US Patent No. 6,557,110 B2).

In considering claim 2, Suemura et al discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a phase locked loop for generating a clock signal synchronized with an externally-generated clock signal, and for outputting the synchronized clock signal to serve as a clock signal for data transmission is met by the timing pulse generator 40 which generates a timing signal 30, which is "1" during one of 9 time slots and "0" otherwise and is inputted simultaneously to the 4 synchronization pattern adders 11 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, lines 27-35), 2) the claimed a skew compensator for receiving data, each data having a predetermined number of bits, from the video controller; in response to the synchronized clock signal, via different channels, and compensating for a skew which is generated between the channel data in response to the synchronized clock signal is met by the synchronization pattern adders 11 in which the data are written in synchronism to the input clock signal 35 and read out in synchronism to the low frequency clock signal 38 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, lines 3-35), 3) the claimed a data serialization unit for compressing the scrambled channel data in response to the synchronized clock signal to obtain 1-bit channel data is met by the P/S converters 12 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, lines 3-35), and 4) the claimed an optical driver for receiving the compressed channel data and the clock signal as different channel data and converting

Art Unit: 2614

the received data into current signals, in order to drive the transmission photo diode is met by the optical transmitters 20 (Figs. 8 and 9, col. 11, lines 32-35).

However, Suemura et al explicitly does not disclose the claimed a scrambler for counting the number of high levels and the number of low levels of each of the skew-compensated channel data, and adding the counted information to each of the channel data to serve as direct current balance information, and transmitting the resultant data.

Sakamoto et al teach that counter circuit 123 begins counting upon receiving a start signal Scs and stops counting upon receiving a reset signal Scr, as illustrated in Figs. 7 and 8, counter circuit 123 outputs reference timing signals Sref at a period to match the frame length, the contents of the shift registers 121 are transferred to data latch 122 at an output timing given by the reference timing signals Sref (Fig. 5, col. 15, line 40 to col. 16, line 35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the counter as taught by Sakamoto et al into Suemura et al's system in order to provide a channel-to-channel skew compensation apparatus that can prevent outputting erroneous data.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-5, 7, 21-22 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2614

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ishikawa (US Patent No. 6,477,184 B1) discloses time-division multiplexing transmission system.

Honma (US Patent No. 5,761,348) discloses data processing apparatus with data bit width conversion.

Llewellyn (US Patent No. 5,579,352) discloses simplified window de-skewing in a serial data receiver.

Ishii (US Patent No. 5,388,103) discloses frequency stabilizer for use in phase-shift keying radio communications system.

Unkrich (US Patent No. 5,206,889) discloses timing interpolator.

Cooper (US Patent No. 5,592,508) discloses analog signal coding and transmission apparatus and method capable of operation with multiple types of analog and digital signals.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Trang U. Tran** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-0090**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John W. Miller**, can be reached at **(703) 305-4795**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2614

Washington, D.C. 20231


or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 308-HELP.

TT TT
January 7, 2004


MICHAEL H. LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER